arXiv:gr-qc/0210058v2 5 Dec 2002

The mass of the graviton and the cosmological constant

M. Novello and R. P. Neves

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca 22290-180,
Rio de Janeiro, RJ – Brazil
E-mail: novello@cbpf.br

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

We show that the graviton has a mass in an anti-de Sitter ($\Lambda < 0$) background given by $m_g^2 = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$. This is precisely the fine-tuning value required for the perturbed gravitational field to mantain its two degrees of freedom.

I. INTRODUCTION

The mass of the graviton and the cosmological constant have been seen, historically and conceptually, as two entirely different quantities. Nevertheless, we shall prove in this letter that there is a very precise relation between these quantities, expressed mathematically by the equation $m_g^2 = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$.

This result follows from the study of the propagation of spin-2 fields in curved spacetime. The construction of a coherent and self-consistent theory for the spin-2 field in the general case of curved riemannian spacetimes was, for a long time, thought to be problematic [1, 3]. There were difficulties related to the number of degrees of freedom, causality of propagation and compatibility with the Einstein equations. All these problems have a common origin in an apparent ambiguity: since the covariant derivatives do not comute in the curved spacetime, the generalization of the equation of motion for the spin-2 field from flat to curved spacetime seems to involve an arbitrary choice of the order of the derivatives.

In a recent paper [2], we showed that the generalization of the Fierz variables [4] to curved spacetime avoids this ambiguity, leading to a unique, well-defined equation of motion. The theory thus obtained is completely self-consistent, without the need of any *ad hoc* hypothesis. The difficulties cited above turned out to be a consequence of a bad choice for the equation of motion, and have nothing to do with some anomaly in the physical world.

In this work, we will concentrate our analysis in the special case of a massive spin-2 field propagating in de Sitter ($\Lambda > 0$) or anti-de Sitter ($\Lambda < 0$) spacetimes. We will show that, when the mass of the field has the peculiar value $m^2 = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$, which can be positive only in AdS, the action has a gauge symmetry analogous to that of the massless field in Minkowski spacetime, and, as a consequence of this symmetry, the field has only two degrees of freedom. This situation reproduces precisely what happens with the perturbations of the Einstein equations in an AdS background: the perturbed gravitons are shown to have a mass with exactly this peculiar value. We will also show that an "effective" mass, M, can be defined so that the field has two degrees of freedom only when M vanishes. Otherwise, it has five degrees of freedom. Our interpretation of M as only an effective mass, and not the real one (which we call m), is deeply rooted in the Fierz formalism.

A special value for the mass has also appeared in a recent paper by Deser and Waldron [5]. They studied the behavior of a spin-2 field in dS and AdS backgrounds and showed that "the cosmological constant Λ and the mass parameter define a phase plane in which partially massless gauge invariant lines separate allowed regions from forbidden, non-unitary ones". The crucial result rests on the existence of a spin-2 massive field that separates the two regions, the mass of which is $m^2 = \frac{2}{3}\Lambda$. They argue that at this particular value a novel symmetry appears that is responsible for the elimination of the 0 helicity excitation. In their approach, when the field has a mass with this value, it has four degrees of freedom; it has two degrees of freedom only when $m = 0$. In the interval $0 < m^2 < \frac{2}{3}\Lambda$, the theory is unstable. In the Minkowski and AdS geometries the theory is always stable and the field has five degrees of freedom, unless it is massless.

Although some of their results agree with ours there are some differences. First of all, we note that what they call the mass should be compared with our effective mass, M. We found that the field has two degrees of freedom only when $M = 0$. The interval $0 < M^2 < \frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ (when $\Lambda > 0$) is forbidden, since the real mass would have to be imaginary. In AdS, M can take any value (but the real mass should satisfy $m^2 > -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ for it not to be imaginary) and $M = m$ in Minkowski. The main difference is that, as we have already pointed out, the spin-2 field has either two or five degrees of freedom, never four. The distinction between real and effective masses is also a novelty. It makes it possible for us to state that the real mass of the field does not vanish when it has two degrees of freedom in the AdS geometry. These differences are certainly related to the choice of the equation of motion for the spin-2 field in a curved spacetime. Our point of view is that the use of the Fierz formalism leads to the best choice, as is extensively discussed in [2].

It follows from all this that the real mass of the graviton is related to a negative cosmological constant, even though its effective mass vanishes. So, the anti-de Sitter spacetime replaces the Minkowski spacetime as the basic vacuum solution of the Einstein equations if the mass of the graviton is not null.

Finally, let us remark that it is quite an interesting property that $\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ is a critical value in both treatments. One should ask if very fine-tuning mass such as this exists among all the spectrum of known particles. We shall prove in this paper that the particle which displays such special mass is precisely what is called the graviton.

II. THE FIERZ FORMALISM IN CURVED SPACETIME

The Fierz formalism is an alternative way to describe a spin-2 field, characterized by the use of a three-index tensor $F_{\alpha\beta\mu}$, which is anti-symmetric in the first pair of indices and obeys the cyclic identity, that is

$$F_{\alpha\beta\mu} + F_{\beta\alpha\mu} = 0, \qquad (1)$$

$$F_{\alpha\beta\mu} + F_{\beta\mu\alpha} + F_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0. \qquad (2)$$

Since such an object has 20 independent components, we must impose an additional condition that eliminates 10 of them, otherwise it will describe two spin-2 fields. We set[7]:

$$\overset{*}{F}{}^{\alpha(\mu\nu)}{}_{,\alpha} = 0, \qquad (3)$$

in which the asterisk represents the dual operator.

Condition (3) implies that there exists a symmetric second order tensor $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ such that we can write

$$2\, F_{\alpha\beta\mu} = \varphi_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - \varphi_{\mu\beta,\alpha} + F_\alpha\, \eta_{\beta\mu} - F_\beta\, \eta_{\alpha\mu}, \qquad (4)$$

where $F_\alpha = F_{\alpha\beta\mu}\eta^{\beta\mu} = \varphi_{,\alpha} - \varphi_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{,\beta}$.

We call $F_{\alpha\beta\mu}$ a *Fierz tensor*. It satisfies the identity

$$F^\alpha{}_{(\mu\nu),\alpha} \equiv -2\, G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (5)$$

where $G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is the linearized Einstein operator, defined in terms of the symmetric tensor $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ by

$$G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\Box\, \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi^\alpha{}_{(\mu,\nu)\,,\alpha} + \varphi_{,\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\, \left(\Box\varphi - \varphi^{\alpha\beta}{}_{,\alpha\beta}\right)\right]. \qquad (6)$$

This tensor[8] has the important property of being divergence-free.

The generalization of the Fierz formalism to a curved riemannian background is made through the use of the minimal coupling principle, replacing $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ by $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the simple derivatives by covariant ones in equation (4):

$$2F_{\alpha\beta\mu} = \varphi_{\mu\alpha;\beta} - \varphi_{\mu\beta;\alpha} + F_\alpha g_{\beta\mu} - F_\beta g_{\alpha\mu}. \qquad (7)$$

As a direct consequence of the fact that the covariant derivatives do not comute in a curved spacetime, condition (3) becomes

$$\overset{*}{F}{}^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu\nu)\,;\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha(\mu\nu)\overset{*}{\beta}}\; \varphi^{\alpha\beta}. \qquad (8)$$

In the special cases of de Sitter or anti-de Sitter spacetimes, we recover the result $\overset{*}{F}{}^{\alpha(\mu\nu)}{}_{;\alpha} = 0$. The role of $G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is now played by the tensor $\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}$, defined so that

$$F^\alpha{}_{(\mu\nu);\alpha} \equiv -2\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}. \qquad (9)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[G^{(a)}{}_{\mu\nu} + G^{(b)}{}_{\mu\nu}\right] \qquad (10)$$

where

$$G^{(a)}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\Box\, \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi_{\alpha(\mu;\nu)}{}^{;\alpha} + \varphi_{;\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\, \left(\Box\varphi - \varphi^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha\beta}\right)\right] \qquad (11)$$

and

$$G^{(b)}{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[\Box\, \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi_{\alpha(\mu}{}^{;\alpha}{}_{;\nu)} + \varphi_{;\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\, \left(\Box\varphi - \varphi^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha\beta}\right)\right]. \qquad (12)$$

III. THE MASSIVE SPIN-2 FIELD IN A DE SITTER BACKGROUND

A. The equation of motion

In the Minkowski spacetime, the equation of motion for a massive spin-2 field is

$$G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m^2\, \left(\varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\right) = 0. \qquad (13)$$

Taking the divergence of this equation and inserting it back in its trace, we find that we must have

$$\varphi = 0, \qquad \varphi_\mu{}^\nu{}_{,\nu} = 0, \qquad (14)$$

so that the field has five degrees of freedom.

When we pass from flat to curved spacetime, the generalization of equation (13) is not trivial. Thanks to the fact that the covariant derivatives no longer comute, we could make different choices for the order in which they appear. We could use either $G^{(a)}{}_{\mu\nu}$ or $G^{(b)}{}_{\mu\nu}$ to replace $G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu}$, or even any combination of both. Since the fundamental work of Aragone and Deser [1], it has become a tradition the use of $G^{(a)}{}_{\mu\nu}$. However, there is no solid motivation for this particular choice. In a recent work, we showed that the extension of the Fierz formalism to curved spacetime provides a well motivated choice, once it is completely unambiguous. Using Fierz variables, we arrive at the following equation of motion:

$$F^\alpha{}_{(\mu\nu);\alpha} - m^2\, \left(\varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi\, g_{\mu\nu}\right) = 0 \qquad (15)$$

or, equivalently:

$$\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m^2\, \left(\varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi\, g_{\mu\nu}\right) = 0. \qquad (16)$$

Its divergence yields

$$Z^{\mu} - m^{2} F^{\mu} = 0, \tag{17}$$

where we define $Z^{\mu} \equiv -F^{\alpha\,(\mu\nu)}{}_{;\alpha\nu} = 2\widehat{G}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\nu}$. It is not difficult to show that Z^{μ} is given by

$$Z^{\mu} = R^{\alpha\beta\lambda\mu} F_{\alpha\beta\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{\varepsilon}{}^{[\lambda} \varphi^{\mu]\varepsilon} \right)_{;\lambda} . \tag{18}$$

In the Minkowski spacetime, Z^{μ} vanishes identically, but this is no longer true in the curved spacetime. When (17) is not an identity, we impose the following conditions, in order to have a consistent system of equations:

$$\varphi = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$F^{\mu} = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$Z^{\mu} = 0. \tag{21}$$

The vanishing of Z^{μ} should be seen as four conditions to be satisfied by the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$.

When the background is a de Sitter spacetime, in which $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{\Lambda}{3} \left(g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu} g_{\beta\mu} \right)$, equation (18) becomes

$$Z^{\mu} = -\frac{2}{3} \Lambda\, F^{\mu}, \tag{22}$$

and we see that the conditions (19), (20) and (21) are self-consistent.

B. The fine-tuning mass

Equation (16) is derived from the action

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int \sqrt{-g} \left[A - B - \frac{m^{2}}{2} \left(\varphi_{\mu\nu} \varphi^{\mu\nu} - \varphi^{2} \right) \right] d^{4}x, \tag{23}$$

where $A \equiv F_{\alpha\mu\nu} F^{\alpha\mu\nu}$ and $B \equiv F_{\mu} F^{\mu}$.

In the flat Minkowski background (where $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$) the massless field equation is invariant under the transformation $\varphi_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \varphi_{\mu\nu} + \delta\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ given by

$$\delta\varphi_{\mu\nu} = \xi_{\mu;\nu} + \xi_{\nu;\mu}. \tag{24}$$

In a curved spacetime the same transformation causes the action (23) to change according to

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{-g} \left(Z^{\mu} - m^{2} F^{\mu} \right) \xi_{\mu} d^{4}x. \tag{25}$$

In general, this action will not be invariant under such a transformation. However when the background is a de Sitter spacetime, equations (22) and (25) tell us that in the very particular case when the mass of the spin-2 field has the special value $m^{2} = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$, the action recovers a gauge invariance[9]. The surprising feature of this result is that the action invariance, in a de Sitter background, does not occur for the massless field, but for the field that satisfies $m^{2} = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$. For any other value of the mass, the conditions (19),(20) and (21) must be imposed.

In section IV we shall prove that the particle which displays such special mass is precisely what we could call the graviton.

C. The effective mass

When the field is massless, the equation of motion reduces to $\widehat{G}^{\mu\nu} = 0$. Taking the divergence of this equation, in a de Sitter spacetime, we see from equation (22) and the definition of Z^{μ} that we must have $F^{\mu} = 0$. Besides, in this particular geometry the following identity holds:

$$\widehat{G}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\mu\nu} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \widehat{G}^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{26}$$

This eliminates a further degree of freedom, which we can conveniently choose to be given by $\varphi = 0$. So, the conditions (19), (20) and (21) are satisfied, and we can see that the field has five degrees of freedom.

This is a rather curious result. Indeed, the massless field has the properties we would expect from a massive field, while the special features that we usually associate to the massless field occurs when the mass has the fine-tuning value $m_{g}^{2} = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$. This suggests that we define an effective mass for the spin-2 field in a de Sitter spacetime, given by

$$M^{2} = m^{2} + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda. \tag{27}$$

Then, the field has two degrees of freedom only when $M = 0$. For any other value of M, it has five degrees of freedom.

It is important to notice that, when $\Lambda > 0$, the interval $0 < M^{2} < \frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ is forbidden, since the real mass, m, cannot be imaginary. Similarly, the interval $0 < m^{2} < -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ renders an imaginary effective mass when $\Lambda < 0$. It seems, thus, that $m^{2} = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ is a lower bound for the real mass in the AdS geometry, corresponding to the situation in which the field has only two degrees of freedom. The real mass is not restricted in dS, but the effective mass is. Inversely, it is the effective mass that is not restricted in AdS. Note that $M = 0$ is forbidden in the case in which $\Lambda > 0$, so that the field cannot have two degrees of freedom in dS.

IV. THE FINE-TUNING MASS OF THE GRAVITON

Let us perturb the Einstein equations of motion (with the cosmological term),

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda\; g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{28}$$

around the de Sitter solution $\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Using the notation $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \varphi_{\mu\nu}$, the equation for the perturbed field is

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda \varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{29}$$

where $\delta G_{\mu\nu}$ is the perturbation of the Einstein tensor. A direct calculation yields

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = G^{(a)}{}_{\mu\nu} + A_{\mu\nu}, \tag{30}$$

where

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{R}{}^{\alpha\beta}\; \varphi_{\alpha\beta} - \overset{\circ}{R}\, \varphi_{\mu\nu} \right). \tag{31}$$

Manipulating equations (10), (11) and (12), we arrive at

$$G^{(a)}{}_{\mu\nu} = \widehat{G}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\, \varphi^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\, R_{\alpha(\mu} \varphi_{\nu)}{}^{\alpha}. \tag{32}$$

In the de Sitter background, equation (29) becomes

$$\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\left(\varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi\, \overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu} \right) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Comparing with equation (16), one recognizes that the graviton has a mass $m_g^2 = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$. In the anti-de Sitter spacetime, according to our previous discussion, this is the real value for the graviton mass, which in turn is associated with a null effective mass, so that the field has only two degrees of freedom, as we showed above.

V. CONCLUSION

The use of the Fierz formalism leads to a well-defined equation of motion for the spin-2 field, avoiding all the arbitrariness present in other approaches. Using this equation, we analysed the case of a massive spin-2 field propagating in a de Sitter or in anti-de Sitter background, and showed that, when the mass has the special value $m_g^2 = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda$ - the *fine-tuning mass*- which can be positive only in anti-de Sitter, the action has a gauge symmetry analogous to the one present in Minkowski spacetime, and the field has only two degrees of freedom. For any other mass value, including $m = 0$, the field has five degrees of freedom. This led us to define an effective mass M such that the field has two degrees of freedom whenever it vanishes and five otherwise.

We were also able to show that a perturbation of the Einstein equations (with the cosmological constant term), in a de Sitter or anti-de Sitter background, produces exactly the equation we obtained from the Fierz formalism for the massive spin-2 field. The graviton mass is related to the cosmological constant by precisely the fine-tuning value described above - a relation that makes sense only when $\Lambda < 0$. So, although not strictly massless, the graviton, in an anti-de Sitter background, keeps the same number of degrees of freedom it has in the Minkowski spacetime, since its effective mass vanishes. Inverting the argument, we may say that if the graviton has a real mass that is not null, then the cosmological constant should be negative.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was partially supported by the Brazilian agency Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

---

[1] C. Aragone and S. Deser, Il Nuovo Cimento vol. 3, n. 4, 709 (1971).

[2] M. Novello and R. P. Neves, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 1-17 (2002).

[3] C. Aragone and S. Deser, Phys. Lett. 86B, 161 (1979); C. Aragone and S. Deser, Il Nuovo Cimento 57B, 33 (1980).

[4] M. Fierz and W. Pauli in Helvetica Physica Acta 12, 297 (1939); M. Fierz and W. Pauli in Proc. Roy. Soc. 173A, 211 (1939).

[5] S. Deser and A. Waldron, in hep-th/0103255 v2 (Apr 2001).

[6] E. M. Corson in Introduction to tensors, spinors and relativistic wave equations, Chelsea Publishing Company, N.Y. 1958.

[7] We define the symmetrization symbol by $A_{(\mu\nu)} \equiv A_{\mu\nu} + A_{\nu\mu}$.

[8] We changed the notation used in our previous quoted paper introducing a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the definition of $G^{(\ell)}{}_{\mu\nu}$ that makes it better suited for applications in the general relativity context.

[9] Note that the gauge invariance of the massless field in Minkowski spacetime is correctly contained in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$.